

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/582,521	Applicant(s) ROBERT, PHILIPPE	
	Examiner CHRIS C. CHU	Art Unit 2815	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRIS C. CHU (USPTO). (3) ____.

(2) Samuel T. Dangremond (applicant's representative). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 16, 17, 20, 28, 29 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reichenbach et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0,065,932).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amendments further defining elements of the claimed invention. These proposed amendments appear to overcome the prior art but would require further consideration and/or search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chris C. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815	
---------------------------------------------------	--